

Borenium Ion Catalyzed Hydroboration of Alkenes with N-Heterocyclic Carbene-Boranes

Aleksandrs Prokofjevs,[†] Anne Boussonnière,[‡] Linfeng Li,[†] Hélène Bonin,[‡] Emmanuel Lacôte,[§] Dennis P. Curran,^{*,‡} and Edwin Vedejs^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, United States

[§]Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles (ICSN), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Avenue de la Terrasse, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Treatment of alkenes such as 3-hexene, 3-octene, and 1-cyclohexyl-1-butene with the N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-derived borane **2** and catalytic HNTf₂ (Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl (CF₃SO₂)) effects hydroboration at room temperature. With 3-hexene, surprisingly facile migration of the boron atom from C(3) of the hexyl group to C(2) was observed over a time scale of minutes to hours. Oxidative workup gave a mixture of alcohols containing 2-hexanol as the major product. A similar preference for the C(2) alcohol was observed after oxidative workup of the 3-octene and 1-cyclohexyl-l



observed after oxidative workup of the 3-octene and 1-cyclohexyl-1-butene hydroborations. NHC-borenium cations (or functional equivalents) are postulated as the species that accomplish the hydroborations, and the C(2) selective migrations are attributed to the four-center interconversion of borenium cations with cationic NHC-borane-olefin π -complexes.

INTRODUCTION

N-heterocyclic carbene boranes (NHC-boranes) are formally Lewis complexes between an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) and borane (BH₃) or a substituted borane.¹ Still emerging, the chemistry of NHC-boranes is already rich and varied.² These compounds donate hydrogen atoms in radical reactions,³ transfer hydrides in ionic reactions,⁴ and are valuable coinitiators for photopolymerizations.⁵ New compositions of matter, including NHC-complexed boryllithiums,⁶ diborenes,⁷ and NHC-boryl radicals,⁸ have been characterized. Exotic NHC-borylenes (4-electron boron analogues of carbenes) have been suggested as reactive intermediates.⁹

The quintessential reaction of Lewis base (LB) complexes of boranes is hydroboration (HB).¹⁰ Exchange of a Lewis base such as an ether or a sulfide with an alkene, for example, provides a short-lived alkene-borane complex that quickly transforms to an alkylborane by hydroboration (Figure 1a). Therefore, the relatively labile borane-Lewis base complexes of THF, dimethyl sulfide, or hindered amines are often thought of as synthetic equivalents of "free borane", a species that generally does not exist in the condensed phase.

NHC-boranes and phosphine-boranes¹¹ are remarkable compared to most other Lewis base complexes of boranes because of their stability. They resist decomplexation and are therefore unusual in that they are essentially inert to hydroboration reactions, even under heating (Figure 1b). Rather than somehow forcing the carbene of an NHC-borane to leave, we hypothesized that hydroborations of NHC-boranes could be induced instead by removal of hydride to vacate a coordination site on boron. In a formal sense, this gives an NHC-borenium ion, 1, which is isoelectronic with free borane (Figure 1b).

Because the NHC-borenium 1 is cationic, it might be a super Lewis acid and could exhibit hydroboration chemistry different from the usual borane chemistry. Cationic Lewis acids with a trivalent boron atom are popular reagents, but most acquire their positive charge by quaternization of a nitrogen atom.¹² However, borenium ions with the formal positive charge residing in a π -system are known,^{12b,13} and a handful of NHCborenium ions bearing either large or electron-donating substituents (or both) have been characterized recently.^{9c,14}

Like free borane, a free NHC-borenium ion, 1, with a BH₂ group may not exist in solution unless it is stabilized by electronic or steric factors,^{13,15} but boronium cations (Lewis base adducts of borenium cations) having the general structure [NHC-BH₂-LB]⁺ (1a) might behave as borenium equivalents if the LB is a weak donor.^{13b} Furthermore, even the neutral carbene-boranes with the structure NHC-BH₂-LG (1b) bearing outstanding leaving groups (LG = bistriflimidate, triflate, etc.) can be regarded as borenium equivalents.⁶ They might express borenium ion reactivity by exchanging with another Lewis base such as an alkene (Figure 1c). Covalent molecules 1b are easily made by reactions of NHC-boranes with strong acids or electrophiles.^{4c}

```
Received: May 24, 2012
Published: June 19, 2012
```



Figure 1. Analogies among (a) boranes, (b) NHC-borenium ions, and (c) reactive equivalents of NHC-borenium ions.

Electrophilic activations of amine-boranes indeed induce hydroborations in the presence of alkenes.^{16a-d} Unlike the thermal reactions of amine-boranes, these reactions generally do not exhibit free borane chemistry and do not depend on B–N dissociation. Instead tricoordinate amine-borenium equivalents (H_2B-N^+) initiate π -complex formation and hydroboration.

Here we report that the hydroboration of alkenes by NHCboranes is catalyzed by NHC-borenium ions (or equivalents thereof). Unusual characteristics such as penchants for bishydroboration and rearrangement show that the transformations do not occur by borane release from the complexes. We first describe in detail experiments on the boreniumcatalyzed hydroborations of 1-, 2-, and 3-hexene. Mechanistic insight is gleaned by identifying key intermediates. The work is then expanded to other alkenes to flesh out the scope of the new reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydroboration of 1-, 2-, and 3-Hexene. Reactions were first probed between (E)-3-hexene and 1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene **2**. This reagent is a stable solid that is convenient to handle. As expected from the amine-borane^{16b,c} and NHC-borane² analogies, no hydroboration occurred at room temperature without activation by added electrophiles.¹⁷

Table 1 shows the results of selected preliminary experiments, which were conducted in a glovebox to ensure the absence of moisture. Briefly, an activator (5 mol %) was added to **2** in CH_2Cl_2 , and then excess (*E*)-3-hexene was added. Conventional oxidative workup with NaOH/H₂O₂ in aqueous methanol was slow, but eventually gave full conversion after 16 h at room temperature (rt). The yields of alcohol products Table 1. Isomer Distribution and Conversion in Hydroboration/Oxidation of (E)-3-Hexene with Electrophilic Activation of 2^{a}



				,		
			pro	proportion ^b (%)		
entry ^a	activator	time	3- isomer	2- isomer	1- isomer	conv ^c (%)
1	Tf_2NH	20 min	91	9	_	-75
2	Tf_2NH	1.5 h	6	91	3	78
3^d	Tf_2NH	4 h	6	76	18	90
4	$TrB(C_6F_5)_4$	1.5 h	6	91	3	80

^{*a*}A solution of **2** in CH₂Cl₂ was placed in a sealed vial and activated with 5% catalyst, and a 3.5-fold molar excess of (*E*)-3-hexene was added for the indicated time, followed by NaOOH/MeOH workup, 16 h at rt. ^{*b*}3-Hexanol:2-hexanol:1-hexanol product ratio by NMR assay. ^{*c*}Conversion based on NMR integration vs internal standard, assuming 2:1 alkene:**2** stoichiometry (see Scheme 2). ^{*d*}Warmed to 50 °C.

were determined by 1 H NMR analysis with an internal standard (PhCH₃).

Activation of **2** with 5% trifluoromethanesulfonimide $((CF_3SO_2)_2NH$, or Tf_2NH ; Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl $(CF_3SO_2))$ in dichloromethane (DCM) generated a reactive intermediate that converted (*E*)-3-hexene to hydroboration products over 1.5 h at room temperature (entry 2). To our surprise, the major product after oxidation was not 3-hexanol, but the isomeric 2-hexanol (about 15:1 ratio, C(2)OH:C(3)-OH). A trace of 1-hexanol was also detected. A similar experiment was quenched after 20 min at rt and gave a very different product ratio (1:10 C(2)OH:C(3)OH) after oxidative workup (entry 1). On the other hand, an experiment conducted at 50 °C revealed increasing conversion to 1-hexanol (entry 3). Evidently, a kinetically formed intermediate isomerizes over time.

Activation of **2** was also achieved at room temperature by using a 5% loading of the electrophilic trityl (Ph₃C, Tr) cation source $\text{TrB}[C_6F_5]_4$ as the hydride acceptor,¹⁸ resulting in nearly the same conversion and product ratio (14:1 C(2)OH:C(3)-OH) as with the Tf₂NH activation (compare entry 4 to entry 2). However, the Tf₂NH activation gave cleaner product mixtures in preliminary studies and was used in most of the subsequent experiments.

On the basis of these observations, we inferred that the catalytic cycle in the hydroborations with **2** and Tf₂NH resembles that previously postulated for reactions with DMAP-BH₃ (DMAP = 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine) and iodine.^{16c} Scheme 1 shows the sequence of events (not including migration steps), which has at least three key stages: (1) electrophilic activation of **2** by the strongly acidic Tf₂NH (pK_a = -12^{19}) to generate dihydrogen and the reactive intermediate **3**, (2) reaction of **3** with a 2-fold excess 3-hexene to afford the HB adducts **4** and **5**, and (3) formation of product **6** via hydride transfer from **2** to **5** (H/Tf₂N exchange).

An intermediate fourth stage involving hydride transfer between 4 and 2 may occur to provide 7, but this pathway is invisible if the reaction is rapidly reversible. The monohydroboration product 7 will not accumulate, and the excess hexene will drive it on to the dihydroboration product 5.



Scheme 1. Catalytic Hydroboration with NHC-Boranes

If the mechanism of Scheme 1 is followed, then HB is feasible only if boron contains both a hydride (for hydroboration) and a triflimidate (for activation). Thus, like 2, 7 cannot react directly with hexene without activation to regenerate 4, and even though precursor 2 has three B–H bonds, a third hydroboration cannot occur because activated intermediate 5 derived from dihydroboration lacks a B–H bond.

The product-forming stage (3) regenerates the activated borane complex 3 and forms product 6. This critical event completes the catalytic cycle in an HB pathway wherein the NHC–B bond remains intact in all the intermediate borane complexes. This mechanism resembles that suggested for hydroboration by electrophilic activation of amine and pyridine boranes,^{16c} but the facile formation of rearranged products has a surprising new twist—the migration of boron stops before the end of the chain is reached.

Alkylborane intermediates are well-known from Brown's classic studies^{20a-c} to undergo migration of an internal C–B bond at very high temperatures (typically \gg 100 °C). Adducts from electron-poor reagents such as the Piers borane (HB(C₆F₅)₂) might be better models for borenium ions, and indeed they rearrange at lower temperatures.^{20d} However, such migrations inevitably proceed to the thermodyanic product, usually a primary alkylborane. In contrast, in the reaction between 2 and (*E*)-3-hexene, the boron atom migrates from C(3) to C(2) even though the two carbons are both secondary.²¹ We assume that the primary alkylborane resulting from migration to C(1) is the most stable isomer, so there must be a higher barrier for migration from C(2) to C(1) than from C(3) to C(2).

To understand the initial activation stage (1), we studied the direct reaction of NHC-BH₃ **2** with triflimide (Tf_2NH) under conditions of in situ monitoring by NMR spectroscopy.

Addition of 1 equiv of Tf_2NH to 2 in an NMR tube resulted in a rapid, exothermic reaction with gas evolution to form a single new species assigned as the boryl triflimide 3 (Scheme 2). At -16.9 ppm, the observed ¹¹B chemical shift indicates that

Scheme 2. Acid-Base Reactions of NHC-Borane 2 with Tf_2NH



3 is tetracoordinate and boron binds the triflimidate with either a B-N or a B-O bond.¹³ Therefore, 3 is not an ion pair consisting of a tricoordinate borenium cation and a triflimide anion. Under argon, 3 is stable in solution at ambient temperature for at least one week.

Reactions of NHC-boranes with triflic acid can produce stable ditriflates $[NHC-BH(OTf)_2]$,^{14a} but a reaction of **2** with 2 equiv of triflimide again gave an ¹¹B NMR spectrum showing **3**. Apparently, a ditriflimide $[NHC-BH(NTf_2)_2]$ is not easily formed. For the catalytic hydroborations, however, the relevant stoichiometry is to have **2** present in excess. Addition of less than 1 equiv of triflimide to **2** gave a new resonance at -21.6ppm which we assign to the H-bridged dimer **8**.^{15,18} The same species can be generated by mixing equimolar amounts of NHC-BH₃ **2** and separately generated NHC-BH₂NTf₂ **3** (see the Supporting Information).

Thus, 8 rather than 3 is the borenium ion equivalent that is present at the start of hydroboration reactions with 2 and catalytic amounts of Tf_2NH . Likewise, the idea that mono- and dialkyl triflimides 4 and 5 are the actual borenium equivalents might be too simple. Bridged dimers analogous to 8 or even free borenium ions could be involved.

To understand the NHC-borane transformations at the level of the C–B bond forming events (stages 2–4), we followed several hydroborations by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy. From the mechanism in Scheme 1, we supposed that bishydroboration would dominate to give *B*,*B*-dihexylborane adducts **6**. To assign signals in HB-derived product mixtures prior to oxidative workup, we prepared authentic samples of two bishydroboration products by direct complexation as shown in Scheme 3.

Hydroboration of 1-hexene (2 equiv) with bromoboranedimethyl sulfide (BH₂Br•SMe₂, 1 equiv) followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ provided a solution of bis(1-hexyl)borane [(C₆H₁₃)₂BH].²² Next, the N-heterocyclic carbene **9** was generated in situ by deprotonation of the corresponding imidazolium iodide with sodium hexamethyldisilazide (NaHDMS), and the borane was then added to **9**. This resulted in the immediate formation of a doublet in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum at –19.0 ppm, $J_{BH} = 77$ Hz, which we assigned to the linear NHC-BH(C₆H₁₃)₂ (1,1)-**6**. No signals of complexes isomeric with (1,1)-**6** were detected, and indeed Scheme 3. Preparation of Authentic (1,1)-6 and (3,3)-6 and ¹¹B NMR Chemical Shifts



none were expected because the 1-hexyl:2-hexyl product ratio in the hydroboration with $BH_2Br\bullet SMe_2$ is reported to be 99.6:0.4.²²

Attempts to isolate (1,1)-6 by flash chromatography were not successful. NHC-boranes bearing a BH₃ group can generally be purified by chromatography, as can some monoalkyl- and arylborane complexes.^{6a} In contrast, complexes of Et₃B²³ do not survive chromatography. Even though (1,1)-6 could not be purified, it is stable in solution and readily identifiable.

In a similar sequence, in situ generated bis(3-hexyl)borane (from (*E*)-3-hexene and BH₂Br•SMe₂) was added to **1**. Again a doublet appeared in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum, this time at -16.9 ppm, $J_{\rm BH} = 83$ Hz. We assign this to (3,3)-6, NHC-BH[CH(C₂H₅)C₃H₇)]₂, which again did not survive chromatography. Complex (3,3)-6 was stable in solution and did not rearrange over 1 day at rt. The ¹¹B NMR shift is not sensitive to the relative configuration; only one signal was observed even though (3,3)-6 is presumably a mixture of diastereomers (d,l) and *meso*. The sensitivity of the ¹¹B NMR shifts to the substitution pattern of the alkyl groups but not to the stereochemistry proved convenient in later experiments when migrations were followed.

Armed with an understanding of the acid-base chemistry and two product standards, we then conducted direct hydroboration experiments of hexene isomers with NHC-borane **2** and substoichiometric amounts of triflimide. The structures of the possible products are shown in Figure 2, and the observed hydroboration product ratios are summarized in Table 2. Preliminary NMR experiments with 5% triflimide progressed slowly or not at all; however, increasing the triflimide loading to 15-25% promoted smooth reactions. The need for additional triflimide in the NMR experiments is probably because they were conducted in NMR tubes without the aid of a glovebox.

In a typical experiment, triflimide (0.25 equiv) was added to a solution of **2** (1 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 in an NMR tube at 25 °C. After 1 min, 1-hexene (3.0 equiv) was added, then the ¹¹B NMR spectrum was recorded after 15 min. Two doublets were present in a ratio of 80:20. The major doublet at -19.0 ppm matches that of the standard (1,1)-6, while the minor doublet at -15.8 ppm was assigned to isomer (1,2)-6 bearing a 1-hexyl group and a 2-hexyl group (entry 1). The product ratio did not change over several hours in solution, so we suspect that this is a kinetic ratio.

No boron singlets (indicative of triple hydroboration) were evident even though ample 1-hexene was added, nor were proton-coupled boron triplets seen (indicative of monohydroboration). Evidently, the two hydroborations occur



Figure 2. Structures of constitutional isomers of NHC-dihexylboranes 6 with assigned ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts and multiplicities (d). Formal charges are not shown.

Table 2. Ratios of Isomeric NHC-Dihexylboranes 6 from Hydroborations of Hexene Isomers with 2 and Tf_2NH^a

			proportion (%)				
entry	hexene	time	3,3- isomer	2,3- isomer	2,2- isomer	1,2- isomer	1,1- isomer
1	1-	10 min	-	-	_	20	80
2	(E)-2-	10 min	2	13	85	-	_
3^b	(E)-3-	15 min	42	47	11	-	_
		30 min	-	15	85	-	_
		90 min	-	10	85	5	-
		2 days	-	10	85	5	_
4	(E)-3-	15 min	_	10	85	5	_
		30 min	_	8	84	8	_
		90 min	-	5	77	18	_
		2 days	-	-	50	50	-

^{*a*}Triflimide (0.25 equiv) was added to a solution of **2** (1 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 in an NMR tube, and then the alkene (3 equiv) was added and the ¹¹B NMR spectrum recorded. ^{*b*}Reduced triflimide loading (0.15 equiv) to retard isomerization.

quickly in succession. The combined regioselectivity of the two hydroborations (linear:branched) is 90:10. It seems likely that the second hydroboration is more regioselective than the first due to steric effects, but this could not be shown since no intermediate monohydroboration products were observed.²⁴

Hydroboration of (E)-2-hexene by a similar procedure provided a ¹¹B NMR spectrum after 10 min that exhibited three doublets at -13.6, -15.2, and -16.9 ppm in a ratio of about 85:13:2 (entry 2). The resonance of the minor product (2%) matches that of bis(3-hexyl)borane complex (3,3)-6. We assign the major product as the bis(2-hexyl)borane complex (2,2)-6 and the intermediate product (15%) as the 2-hexyl-3hexyl complex (2,3)-6. Over some hours, a small resonance for (1,2)-6 began to appear.

With all five possible regioisomeric bishydroboration products identified, we then revisited the hydroboration of (E)-3-hexene. Unlike the static or slowly changing behavior of the HB products obtained from the isomeric 1- or 2-hexenes, the product ratios now changed relatively quickly. Indeed, we had to decrease the amount of triflimide to see the unrearranged product (3,3)-6. Table 2 shows the results of

two time course experiments with 3-hexene as the substrate and 15% (entry 3) or 25% (entry 4) triflimide.

Three representative ¹¹B NMR spectra from these experiments are shown in Figure 3, while complete sets of spectra from both experiments are shown in the Supporting Information.



Figure 3. Representative ¹¹B NMR spectra in the hydroboration of 3hexene that show the product evolution as a function of time and $[Tf_2NH]$ (%): top, entry 3 at 15 min; middle, entry 3 at 90 min; bottom, entry 4 at 2 days.

The spectrum from the first time point (entry 3, 15 min) with 15% triflimide is shown in Figure 3, top. We observed 42% kinetic product (3,3)-6 along with 47% product (2,3)-6 of one migration of one hexyl group and 11% product (2,2)-6 of one migration of both hexyl groups. This ratio changed rapidly to a final ratio after 90 min of 10% (2,3)-6, 85% (2,2)-6, and 5% product (1,2)-6 corresponding to two successive migrations along one of the hexyl groups. This spectrum is shown in Figure 3, middle. The product ratio did not change on further standing for up to 48 h.

The starting spectrum at 15 min with 25% triflimide resembled the final spectrum with 15% triflimide. The kinetic product (3,3)-6 was already gone, and (2,3)-6, (2,2)-6, and (1,2)-6 were present in a ratio of about 10:85:5. This ratio evolved slowly, eventually stopping at 48 h with the complete disappearance of (2,3)-6 and leaving (2,2)-6 and (1,2)-6 in a 50:50 ratio (Figure 3, bottom). The ultimate rearranged product, (1,1)-6, was not detected at any time in either of these experiments.

Scope and Limitations of the Study. The data presented so far support a catalytic hydroboration where the initially formed activated intermediate 3 reacts with (E)-3-hexene via hydroborated adducts 4 and 5, both of which are capable of undergoing migration of the C–B bond. Further studies were therefore initiated to clarify the scope of the hydroboration and selective migration process using 2 and catalytic Tf₂NH with several alkenes as summarized in Table 3 and Scheme 4. The results indicate that a subset of simple alkenes can be hydroborated using the lower 5% triflimide loading in standard sealed vials if sufficient care is taken to exclude moisture and air.

Table 3. Hydroboration/Oxidation Using 2 with Catalytic Tf₂NH Activation^a

entry	alkene R'H C_{α} = C_{β} HR"	[cat.] (%)	time (h)	temp (°C)	$C_{\alpha}OH$ (unrearr) (proportion, %)	C_{β} OH (unrearr) (proportion, %)	migration product (proportion, %)
1	1-octene ^b	5	1.5	25	2-octanol (5%)	1-octanol (94%)	
2	(E)-2-octene ^b	5	1.5	25	3-octanol (5%)	2-octanol (85%)	4-octanol (tr), 1-octanol (tr)
3	(E)-3-octene ^b	5	1.5	25	4-octanol (9%)	3-octanol (8%)	2-octanol (74%), 1-octanol (tr)
4	(E)-3-octene ^b	5	19	25	4-octanol (5%)	3-octanol (5%)	2-octanol (57%), 1-octanol (31%)
5	(E)-3-octene ^b	30	2	50	4-octanol (tr)		2-octanol (15%), 1-octanol (61%)
6	(E)-4-octene ^b	30	1.5	25	4-octanol (8%)		3-octanol (7%), 2-octanol (65%),
							1-octanol (15%)
7	(E)-4-octene ^b	30	2	50	4-octanol (7%)		3-octanol (tr), 2-octanol (25%),
							1-octanol (62%)
8	(Z)-4-octene ^b	30	2	50	4-octanol (tr)		3-octanol (tr), 2-octanol (21%),
							1-octanol (32%)
9	(E)-CyCH ₂ CH=	5	3	25	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Cy(CH ₂) ₂ CHOHMe	$Cy(CH_2)_4OH$ (13; <0.2%), ^c
	CHMe $(10)^b$				$(11; 1-2\%)^c$	$(12; 78-82\%)^c$	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et (14; 0.1–1%) ^c
10	(E)-CyCH ₂ CH=	5	3	50	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Cy(CH ₂) ₂ CHOHMe	$Cy(CH_2)_4OH$ (13; 0.2–0.3%), ^c
	CHMe $(10)^d$				$(11; 2-4\%)^c$	$(12; 72-81\%)^c$	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et (14; $1-3\%$) ^c
11	(E)-CyCH ₂ CH=	5	24	50	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Cy(CH ₂) ₂ CHOHMe	Cy(CH ₂) ₄ OH (13; 7%),
	CHMe $(10)^d$				(11; 3%)	(12; 68%)	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et (14; 0.2%)
12	(E)-CyCH=CHEt $(15)^b$	5	3	25	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Cy(CH ₂) ₂ CHOHMe (12 ; 55–63%), ^{<i>c</i>}
					$(14; 4-6\%)^c$	$(11; 14-16\%)^c$	$Cy(CH_2)_4OH (13; <0.2\%)^c$
13	(E)-CyCH=CHEt $(15)^d$	5	3	50	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Су(СН ₂) ₂ СНОНМе (12; 70–76%),
					$(14; 0.1-0.8\%)^c$	$(11; 2-6\%)^c$	$Cy(CH_2)_4OH (13; 1-6\%)^c$
14	(E)-CyCH=CHEt $(15)^d$	5	24	50	CyCHOHCH ₂ Et	CyCH ₂ CHOHEt	Cy(CH ₂) ₂ CHOHMe (12 ; 60%),
					(14; 0.1%)	(11; 1%)	Cy(CH ₂) ₄ OH (13 ; 16%)
15	(E)-BnCH ₂ CH=CHMe ^b	5	3	25	BnCH ₂ CHOHEt (40%)	Ph(CH ₂) ₃ CHOHMe (40%)	
16	(E)-BnCH ₂ CH=CHMe ^d	5	3	50	BnCH ₂ CHOHEt (32%)	Ph(CH ₂) ₃ CHOHMe (51%)	

^aEntries 1–8, 3.5:1 alkene:2 molar ratio; entries 9–14, 2:1 alkene:2 molar ratio, followed by NaOOH/H₂O-MeOH workup, 16 h at rt; qualitative product ratios by NMR integration or GLPC. ^bCH₂Cl₂ solution. ^cGLPC relative yields, two runs. ^dClCH₂CH₂Cl solution.



Reactivity is sensitive to the substitution pattern, and so is the selective migration to C(2), as illustrated for the octene examples in entries 1–7 (Table 3; all entries assayed after oxidative cleavage). Both 1-octene and 2-octene were hydroborated readily at rt (Table 3, entries 1 and 2), and only traces of migration were detected after 1.5 h (entry 2) as evidenced by the formation of the primary alcohol (1-octanol). This is the same behavior as seen with 1- and 2-hexenes (Table 2). Similarly, 3-octene was reactive at rt, but extensive migration and high C(2) selectivity were observed when the reaction time was limited to 1.5 h (entry 3). Prolonged exposure at room temperature (entry 4) resulted in substantial migration to the primary carbon, while an experiment conducted at 50 °C using 30% Tf₂NH finally gave 1-octanol as the dominant product (61%, entry 5).

In contrast to entries 1–4, Table 3, neither (*E*)- nor (*Z*)-4octene gave substantial conversion under the room temperature conditions with 5% Tf₂NH catalyst. Conversion did take place using 30% Tf₂NH at rt (entry 6) to give a 4.3:1 rearranged 2octanol:1-octanol preference along with lesser amounts of the isomeric 3- and 4-octanols. When the 4-octenes were reacted at 50 °C using 30% Tf₂NH, rearranged products were obtained almost exclusively (entries 7 and 8), but C(2) selectivity was lower.

As shown in Table 3, entry 9, good reactivity at room temperature was also observed with 1-cyclohexyl-2-butene (10) to give the expected products 11 and 12 (80-84% yields). No evidence of migration products was detected by gas-liquid partition chromatography (GLPC) assay for the room temperature experiment, although hydroboration at 50 °C formed 7% rearranged primary alcohol 13 along with traces of 14 (entry 10). Isolated yields varied somewhat at the higher temperature (77% and 86% for two runs), probably due to catalyst decomposition, but the product distributions were similar.

Prolonged heating at 50 °C (entry 11) resulted in more of the primary alcohol migration product 13 (7% after 24 h) and a corresponding decrease in the recovery of 12. In contrast, hydroboration of the isomeric alkene 1-cyclohexyl-1-butene (15; entry 12) gave extensive migration already at rt with about 3:1 selectivity in favor of the rearranged C(2) alcohol 12 compared to the unrearranged 11 and 14 after oxidative workup. This behavior resembles the 3-hexene case (Table 1), but it stands in contrast to the 3-octene example (Table 3, entry 3) where substantial rearrangement did not occur at rt. When the experiment of entry 12 was modified for reaction at 50 $^{\circ}$ C, 3 h (entry 13), the proportion of the migration-derived C(2)alcohol 12 increased at the expense of 14 and 11, and significant conversion to the primary C(1) alcohol 13 was also observed (79-83% recovery). Prolonged (24 h) heating at 50 °C increased the amount of 13 to 16% and resulted in virtually

complete conversion of the kinetic products 14 and 11 (entry 14, 77% recovery).

These findings resemble the results of entries 10 and 11 using the isomeric 1-cyclohexyl-2-butene (10) at the same temperature (50 °C). However, conversion to the primary C(1) alcohol 13 in all of the experiments at 50 °C was considerably lower than for the 3-octene example using the same 5% catalyst loading at room temperature (31% 1-octanol, entry 4). The contrasting extent of migration (entry $4 \gg$ entries 9–14) suggests that the remote cyclohexyl substituent retards the rate of the final migration step from C(2) to C(1), but the nature of this effect remains unknown.

Variations in reactivity (based on conversion (%)) and migration behavior were also encountered with other alkenes. For example, the hydroboration of 5-phenyl-2-pentene proceeded readily at rt to form a 1:1 mixture of the expected unrearranged products (Table 3, entry 15). The product ratio was somewhat displaced toward the C(2) alcohol after heating to 50 °C followed by oxidative workup (entry 16), but further rearrangement to the primary alcohol was not detected, in contrast to the other entries in Table 3. Surprisingly, alkenes containing a phenyl substituent closer to the alkene double bond, including β -methylstyrene, 1-phenyl-2-butene, or 1phenyl-1-butene, gave little conversion (<10%) under typical catalytic hydroboration conditions (2 activated with 5% Tf₂NH, 3 h at rt).

The β -methylstyrene case was investigated using increasingly exacting procedures (to ensure the absence of contaminants); however, conversion of the alkene did not proceed beyond 8% according to NMR assay, and alcohol products were not seen above the NMR detection limit (3–4%) after oxidative workup. On the other hand, a stoichiometric activation experiment (2:1:1 alkene:**2**:Tf₂NH) shown in Scheme 5 proceeded within





3 h at rt, and oxidative workup returned the alcohols 16 and 17 (ca. 4:1 ratio) along with a trace of the primary alcohol 18 (combined 83% recovery). Apparently, the stoichiometric reaction proceeds normally, but catalyst turnover does not occur with these alkenes.²⁵

A brief evaluation of oxygen-containing alkenes 19-21 containing a benzyl ether as the Lewis base within two or three carbons of the alkene provided one case where the benzyloxy substituent suppressed the catalytic HB pathway (<10% conversion with 19 at 50 °C) and two cases where conversion was slow (56% 20 recovered after 3 h at 50 °C along with 22% primary alcohol and 3% secondary alcohol; 80% 21 recovered after 3 h at 50 °C, along with 9% expected C(2) and C(3) alcohols in a 1:2 ratio). Rearranged products were not detected starting from 21.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have discovered that bishydroboration of alkenes can be accomplished at room temperature simply by adding a catalytic amount of triflimide to the NHC-borane prior to addition of the alkene. The kinetic hydroboration products often further evolve at room temperature by migration of the boron atom along the alkyl chain. The kinetic or migrated products can be obtained depending on how long the reaction is run before addition of the oxidant. The alkylborane migrations are unusual because they occur at low temperatures and because they often pause before the boron atom reaches a terminal carbon atom.

The accumulated evidence shows that borenium ion equivalents (such as boryltriflimide 3 or H-bridged dimer 9) are the active catalysts that effect hydroboration of alkenes. Hydride transfer from the starting NHC-borane then gives the HB product (ultimately a dialkylborane) and regenerates the catalyst.

The subsequent migration of the dialkylboranes is slower than the initial dihydroboration itself, yet still rather fast. In the most selective examples (3-hexene, 3-octene, 1-cyclohexyl-1butene (15)) the hydroboration intermediates undergo facile boron migration on a time scale of minutes to hours at room temperature with accumulation of the C(2) isomers. As far as we know, these are the first examples where hydroboration of 3-alkenes produces large amounts of 2-alkanols after oxidation.

The migration mechanism needs further study, but the results can tentatively be understood by considering intermediates in the representative hydroboration of 3-hexene as shown in Scheme 6. The sequence parallels the corresponding migration steps in conventional hydroboration,^{20c} but the presence of the cationic NHC substituent apparently lowers the energy barrier for migration within the chain but not to the end of the chain.

We propose that migration occurs at the stage of activated intermediates 5 via equilibration with a borenium salt, 22.

Scheme 6. Suggested Migration Pathways ($R = C_6 H_{13}$)



Heterolysis and departure of the bistriflimidate anion result in tricoordinate boron, allowing B–C and C–H cleavage via a four-center bond reorganization to generate the π -complex 23 followed by rehydroboration to complete the first migration event, resulting in 24. A second migration event is also possible by a similar sequence from 24 to 25 and 26, but this sequence must be considerably slower than the migration from 22 to 24 because products (1,1)-6 and (1,2)-6 derived from 24 do not accumulate unless the reaction mixture is heated.

The new NHC-borenium ion catalyzed hydroborations are processes fundamentally different from standard hydroborations of borane-Lewis base complexes,¹⁰ and they have only a few prior parallels in amine-borane chemistry.¹⁶ However, even these parallels are inadequate because amine-borane adducts have not been observed to migrate while NHC-borane adducts migrate quickly. There are already other potential NHC-borenium ion equivalents known^{4c} (boryl halides and boryl triflates, for example), and the array of possible NHC substituents is vast. Thus, a broad new avenue to modify a classic reaction is now open.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Complete experimental details and additional copies of spectra for time course migration experiments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

curran@pitt.edu; edved@umich.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the U.S. National Science Foundation, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant GM067146), French Agence Nationale de Recherche, and CNRS for funding this work.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Carmalt, C. J.; Cowley, A. H. In Advances In Inorganic Chemistry; Academic Press: New York, 2000; Vol. 50, pp 1–32.
(b) Kuhn, N.; Al-Sheikh, A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 829–857.
(c) Kirmse, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 237–260.

(2) Curran, D. P.; Solovyev, A.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Lacôte, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10294–10317.

(3) (a) Ueng, S.-H.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M.; Curran, D. P. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 3415–3420. (b) Ueng, S.-H.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M.; Curran, D. P. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3002–3005.

(4) (a) Horn, M.; Mayr, H.; Lacote, E.; Merling, E.; Deaner, J.; Wells, S.; McFadden, T.; Curran, D. P. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 82–85. (b) Chu, Q.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Solovyev, A.; Ueng, S.-H.; Curran, D.; Malacria, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 12937–12940. (c) Solovyev, A.; Chu, Q.; Geib, S. J.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Lacôte, E.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 15072–15080.

(5) (a) Tehfe, M.-A.; Monot, J.; Malacria, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Fouassier, J.-P.; Curran, D. P.; Lacôte, E.; Lalevée, J. ACS Macro Lett. **2012**, 1, 92–95. (b) Tehfe, M.-A.; Monot, J.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Bonin-Dubarle, H.; Curran, D. P.; Malacria, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Lalevée, J.; Fouassier, J.-P. Polym. Chem. **2011**, 2, 625–626 31. (c) Tehfe, M.-A.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Fouassier, J.-P.; Curran,

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(6) (a) Monot, J.; Solovyev, A.; Bonin-Dubarle, H.; Derat, É.; Curran, D. P.; Robert, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Lacôte, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9166–9169. (b) Braunschweig, H.; Chiu, C.-W.; Radacki, K.; Kupfer, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2041–2044.

(7) (a) Wang, Y.; Quillian, B.; Wei, P.; Xie, Y. M.; Wannere, C. S.; King, R. B.; Schaefer, H. F.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Robinson, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 3298–3299. (b) Wang, Y.; Quillian, B.; Wei, P.; Wannere, C. S.; Xie, Y.; King, R. B.; Schaefer, H. F.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Robinson, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12412–12413.

(8) (a) Walton, J. C.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Monot, J.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Curran, D. P.; Lacôte, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10312–10321. (b) Walton, J. C.; Makhlouf Brahmi, M.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M.; Chu, Q.; Ueng, S.-H.; Solovyev, A.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2350–2358.

(9) (a) Curran, D. P.; Boussonnière, A.; Geib, S. J.; Lacôte, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1602–1605. (b) Bissinger, P.; Braunschweig, H.; Kraft, K.; Kupfer, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4704–4707.
(c) Wang, Y.; Robinson, G. H. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12326–12337.
(d) Kinjo, R.; Donnadieu, B.; Celik, M. A.; Frenking, G.; Bertrand, G. Science 2011, 333, 610–613.

(10) (a) Smith, K.; Pelter, A. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1991; Vol. 8, p 703. (b) Zaidlewicz, M. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Wilkinson, G., Stone, F. G. A., Abel, E., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1982; Vol. 7, pp 143–254.

(11) Staubitz, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Sloan, M. E.; Manners, I. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4023–4078.

(12) (a) Corey, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2100-2117.
(b) Piers, W. E.; Bourke, S. C.; Conroy, K. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5016-5036. (B) Hudnall, T. W.; Gabbai, F. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11978-11986.

(13) (a) Kölle, P.; Nöth, H. Chem. Rev. **1985**, 85, 399-418. (b) De Vries, T. S.; Prokofjevs, A.; Vedejs, E. Chem. Rev. **2012**, in press.

(14) (a) Solovyev, A.; Geib, S. J.; Lacôte, E.; Curran, D. P. Organometallics 2012, 31, 54-56. (b) McArthur, D.; Butts, C. P.; Lindsay, D. M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6650-6652. (c) Mansaray, H. B.; Rowe, A. D. L.; Phillips, N.; Niemeyer, J.; Kelly, M.; Addy, D. A.; Bates, J. I.; Aldridge, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12295-12297. (d) Matsumoto, T.; Gabbaï, F. P. Organometallics 2009, 28, 4252-4253. (e) Weber, L.; Dobbert, E.; Stammler, H.-G.; Neumann, B.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Chem. Ber. 1997, 130, 705-710.

(15) Inés, B.; Patil, M.; Carreras, J.; Goddard, R.; Thiel, W.; Alcarazo, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 8400–8403.

(16) (a) Shapland, P.; Vedejs, E. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 6666–6667. (b) Karatjas, A. G.; Vedejs, E. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, 73, 9508–9510. (c) Clay, J. M.; Vedejs, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 5766–5767. (d) Activation of phosphine or amine boranes using the trityl salt $Ph_3CB[C_6F_5]_4$ has been demonstrated in hydroborations: De Vries, T. S. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Michigan, 2007; highlights are described in ref 13b, section 8.2. (e) Similar activation methods have been used to induce aliphatic C–H borylation: Prokofjevs, A.; Vedejs, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 20056–20059.

(17) Only small hints of HB were observed at 50 °C when a mixture of (*E*)-3-hexene and the relatively hindered [1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene]borane was treated with 30 mol % Tf₂NH. (18) De Vries, T. S.; Vedejs, E. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3079–3081.

(19) Kütt, A.; Rodima, T.; Saame, J.; Raamat, E.; Mäemets, V.; Kaljurand, I.; Koppel, I. A.; Garlyauskayte, R. Y.; Yagupolskii, Y. L.; Yagupolskii, L. M.; Bernhardt, E.; Willner, H.; Leito, I. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 391–395.

(20) (a) Brown, H. C.; Knights, E. F.; Scouten, C. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7765–7770. (b) Brown, H. C.; Bhatt, M. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1440–1443. (c) For a mechanistic interpretation of boron migration, see: Rickborn, B.; Wood, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1971**, 93, 3940–3946. (d) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E.; Yap, G. P. A. Organometallics **1998**, 17, 5492–5503.

(21) With some cyclic alkenes migrations also occur from one secondary carbon to another driven by the presence of large, adjacent branching substituents. See: Hupe, E.; Denisenko, D.; Knochel, P. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 9187–9198.

(22) Brown, H. C.; Ravindran, N. Synthesis 1977, 695-697.

(23) Yamaguchi, Y.; Kashiwabara, T.; Ogata, K.; Miura, Y.; Nakamura, Y.; Kobayashi, K.; Ito, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2160–2161.

(24) With decreased loading (1.7 mol %) of catalyst $TrB(C_6F_5)_4$ in C_6D_5Br , a minor triplet was observed at -25 ppm starting from (*E*)-3-hexene and **2** that may be a monoalkylborane, but experiments in bromobenzene were not pursued due to the greater convenience of DCM.

(25) 2-Methyl-4-phenylbutene undergoes catalyzed hydroboration under the usual conditions with 2 and 5% Tf_2NH as catalyst, suggesting that the inhibiting effect of a phenyl substituent is lower for the terminal alkene compared to the 1,2-disubstituted substrates. This inhibiting effect may be due to the Lewis basicity of the nearby phenyl ring. However, we have already identified modified reagents that react with phenyl-substituted alkenes, and the scope of these reactions is under study.

(26) In preliminary experiments, 61% conversion was found with the terminal alkene benzyl 2-allylcyclohexyl ether using 2 and 5% Tf₂NH loading, 3 h at room temperature, but the disubstituted 2-butenyl analogue of the benzyl ether 20 gave <3% hydroboration product under these conditions.

Article